RESPONSE TO RULE 28 PETITION TO ALLOW ELECTRONIC RECORDING VERSUS COURT REPORTERS

PETITION FOR ENFORCEMENT OF COURT CR ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS (INJUNCTIVE RELIEF)

I am a successful candidate involved in the CR legislation. My work record is impeccable.

In reality, this Legislation has lost as many tested candidates as it has gained.

It is just a giant legislative PAC ganging up on testing applicants. There is no consumer or legal protection for the testing candidates.

The Board started in 2000. There has been six year's of grandfathering in Arizona, in spite of numerous court rulings. One can only imagine the tactics and verbal abuse used to carry through this grandfathering or perhaps something even worse.

Melinda Vollmer began by telling the talented tested applicants that there is no work; then turned around and placed zero qualified applicants. This was not disciplined by the Court or Board, creating preferential for these PAC members, who seem to be manipulating and becoming rich from this Legislation.

Ms. Vollmer is talented, but is completely impervious to Court Rulings, Administrative Rules, Federal and Count Laws regarding hiring, et cetera, creating a defective entity.

Nancy Swetnam has actually been having phone conversations with Administrators and Managers regarding extensions, while the tested applicants are applying for a position.

Both are patronizing to the point of being abusive.

I am asking that the RPR/CSR candidates be placed in the positions as the Court originally ordered. A simply, great, we are glad you are here and a placement is all that is necessary.

Unfortunately, quite honestly, this is against medical advice, and will be too little, too late for many people similarly situated.

Leslie A. Baird, RPR, BBA, CR#50568 1111 W. St. Marys Rd. #206 Tucson, Arizona 85745